# COMPARISON OF THE VOLUME AND SURFACE MODIFICATIONS OF THE REGULAR SOLUTION MODEL\*

J.DRAHOŠ

Institute of Chemical Process Fundamentals, Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, 165 02 Prague 6 - Suchdol

Received May 19th, 1977

Possibilities of the volume and surface modifications of the regular solution model were evaluated by comparing calculated and experimental values of the vapour phase composition in a set of 129 different binary systems and with all calculations being performed by using the properties of pure components only. This comparison showed that the region of practical applicability of the surface modification is larger than that of the volume modification, especially in the case of systems containing highly polar or chemically considerably different substances.

In relation to problems connected with the calculation of the vapour-liquid equilibrium from the properties of pure components, a necessity appeared of an objective comparison between the Hildebrand–Scatchard volume<sup>1,2</sup> and Erdös surface<sup>3</sup> modifications of the regular solution model. In view of the pressure independence of activity coefficients derived from the above mentioned modifications and after an evaluation of the amount and quality of equilibrium data in the regions of normal and elevated pressures, the comparative calculations were performed on a set of binary systems at normal pressures.

The dependence between the compositions of vapour and liquid phases in a binary system of non-electrolytes is given by the relations

$$y_1 = (a_{12}x_1/x_2)/(1 + a_{12}x_1/x_2) \tag{1}$$

$$y_2 = 1/(1 + a_{12}x_1/x_2), \qquad (2)$$

where  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  (resp.  $y_1$  and  $y_2$ ) are mole fractions of components 1 and 2 in the liquid (resp. vapour) phase. The relative volatility,  $a_{12}$ , defined by

$$a_{12} = y_1 x_2 / (y_2 x_1) \tag{3}$$

 Part LXXVII in the series Liquid-Vapour Equilibrium; Part LXXVI: This Journal 43, 10 (1978).

Collection Czechoslov, Chem. Commun. [Vol. 43] [1978]

can be, in the region of normal and low pressures, expressed by the equation

$$a_{12} = \gamma_1 P_1^0 / (\gamma_2 P_2^0) \quad (+_g), \tag{4}$$

where  $P_1^0$  and  $P_2^0$  are saturated vapour pressures of the pure components at a given temperature,  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are activity coefficients of the components in the solution and the symbol  $(+_g)$  denotes the ideal behaviour of the vapour phase. For an ideal solution, Eq. (4) passes to the form

$$a_{12} = P_1^0 / P_2^0$$
, (id), (5)

where the symbol (id) denotes an ideal system.

To express the temperature dependence of the saturated vapour pressure of the pure component, we can employ the Antoine equation<sup>4</sup>

$$\log P_i^0 = A_i - B_i / (T + C_i - 273.15), \tag{6}$$

where T is absolute temperature and  $A_i$ ,  $B_i$ ,  $C_i$  are constants characteristic for the given component *i* and the temperature range.

It follows from the given relations that for a calculation of the vapour phase composition in a binary system we must know the liquid phase composition, temperature, constants in the Antoine equation and values of the activity coefficients. The first two quantities, x and T, are results of experiment, values of constants in the Antoine equation were taken from literature<sup>5</sup>. Values of the activity coefficients were derived from the volume and surface modifications of the regular solution model in a manner described below.

Hildebrand and Scatchard<sup>1,2</sup> started from the assumption of the central character of intermolecular forces in a solution and derived the following relations for activity coefficients of components in a binary system

$$RT \ln \gamma_1 = V_1 \, \Phi_2^2 (\delta_1 - \delta_2)^2 \,, \tag{7}$$

$$RT \ln \gamma_2 = V_2 \, \Phi_1^2 (\delta_1 - \delta_2)^2 \,, \tag{8}$$

where  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are molar volumes of pure liquid components, the solubility parameter,  $\delta$ , is defined through the molar heat of vaporization,  $\Delta H_v$ , as

$$\delta_{i} = \left[ (\Delta H_{vi} - RT) / V_{i} \right]^{1/2} \quad i = 1, 2$$
(9)

the volume fraction  $\Phi_i$  is given by

$$\Phi_{i} = x_{i} V_{i} / (x_{1} V_{1} + x_{2} V_{2}) \quad i = 1, 2$$
(10)

Collection Czechoslov, Chem. Commun. [Vol. 43] [1978]

806

Comparison of the Volume and Surface Modifications of the Regular Solution Model

and **R** is the gas constant. Values of volume V at temperature T were obtained from literature values<sup>6</sup> of volume  $V_0$  at temperature  $T_0$ , which were re-calculated by the Watson relation<sup>7</sup>

$$V = V_0 (1 + 0.4802T_0/T_c) / (1 + 0.4802T/T_c), \qquad (11)$$

where  $T_c$  is critical temperature. The value of the molar heat of vaporization in relation (9) was determined from the differential form of the Clausius-Clapeyron equation

$$\Delta H_{\rm v} = RT^2 \,\mathrm{dln} \, P/\mathrm{d}T \tag{12}$$

and from the Antoine equation (6).

Starting from the assumption of a surface character of intermolecular forces, Erdös<sup>3</sup> derived the relations for activity coefficients:

$$RT \ln \gamma_1 = S_1 \, \Theta_2^2 (q_1 - q_2)^2 \tag{13}$$

$$\mathbf{R}T \ln \gamma_2 = S_2 \, \Theta_1^2 (q_1 - q_2)^2 \,, \tag{14}$$

where  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are molar surfaces of molecules of corresponding components, the surface solubility parameter, q, is given by the relation

$$q_{i} = \left[ (\Delta H_{vi} - RT) / S_{i} \right]^{1/2} \quad i = 1, 2$$
(15)

and the surface fraction,  $\Theta_i$ , by

$$\Theta_{i} = x_{i}S_{i}/(x_{1}S_{1} + x_{2}S_{2}) \quad i = 1, 2.$$
<sup>(16)</sup>

Two alternative procedures for determining the molar surface  $S_i$  were used in this work: *a*) the original Erdös correlation with parachor [P]

$$S_{i} = k_{i} [P_{i}]^{2/3}$$
(17)

with the proportionality constant  $k_i$  being for simplicity considered as characteristic for all of the substances employed, *i.e.*, the validity of the relation

$$k_1/k_2 = 1$$
 (18)

was assumed; b) a possibility was investigated of using the surface contributions from van der Waals molecular radii as proposed by Bondi<sup>8</sup>. The molecular surface

Collection Czechoslov. Chem. Commun. [Vol. 43] [1978]

807

# 808

## TABLE I

Physico-Chemical Properties of the Pure Substances

Substance	Class <sup>9</sup>	<i>T</i> <sub>c</sub> , K	T <sub>nbp</sub> , K	Density at 20°C, g cm <sup>-3</sup>	Parachor	Molar volume <sup>3</sup> 10 <sup>9</sup> cm <sup>2</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup>
n Hentene	5	460.65	200.22	0.62624	221.0	8.20
n-Heyane	5	507.37	341.80	0.65937	231.0	0.64
n-Hentane	5	540.2	341.07	0.68376	210.9	10.00
1 Octana	5	540.2	204.42	0.71402	225.2	11.81
n Octana	5	560.0	208.82	0.7022	251.0	12.24
2. Methylhentone	5	505.6	390.80	0.69797	249.9	12:34
2-Methymeptane	5	542.90	390.80	0.60102	240.0	12:33
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	5	569.0	202.22	0.70721	292.9	12.32
2,2,3-Timethymexane	5	617.4	393.23	0.722454	421.1	15.04
n-Decane Decane	5	5(2)(5	252.25	0.97001	451-1	6.00
Teluene	5	501.72	202.77	0.86604	200.1	7.45
Ethulhangana	5	617.00	400.24	0.86702	243.7	8.80
Ethyloenzene w Vulene	5	617.09	409.34	0.86702	204.3	0.57
m-Aylene	5	616.2	412.23	0.86105	204.2	9.57
<i>p</i> -Aylene Tetrachloromothene	5	556.20	240.96	1.5040	204.3	7.28
Ethyl bromido	5.	502.9	211.54	1.45020	165.4	5.55
1.2 Dibromosthere	5	505.0	404.80	2.17020	212.0	6.96
1,2-Dibromoethane	5	630.15	404.69	2.17920	213.0	7.07
Chlorobutane	5	542.15	351.73	0.88021	230.3	7-97
Desmohonzene	5	632.4	404.87	1.4048	244.1	7.14
Dishlanamathana	2	670.	429.24	1.22554	257.8	7.40
Dichloromethane	4	510.	313.34	1.32554	149.9	4.99
1 1 Distance	4	536.4	334.35	1.48316	100.5	6.03
1,1-Dichloroethane	4	523.	330.43	1.1/5/	188.2	6.33
Trichless etheless	4	501.	350.90	1.25309	100.4	0.30
Irichioroethylene	4	5/1.15	360.45	1.46422	209.10	7.13
Acetone Disthed athen	3	508.2	329.21	0.79082	161.5	5.84
Diethyl ether	3	466.70	307.75	0.7135	1767	1.34
Methyl acetate	3	506.85	330.08	0.9336	1/0.7	0.44
Ethyl acetate	3	523.2	350.21	0.9004	216.2	7.79
1-Intropropane	3	6/5.	404.52	0.99546	208.8	7.57
Nitrobenzene	3	155.95	483.80	1.2032	262.5	10.64
Triethylamine	3	232.	361.92	0.7280	296.5	10.64
Methyl alcohol	2	512.38	337.69	0.78655	88.2	3.30
Ethyl alcohol	2	516.2	351.45	0.78506	126.0	4.93
n-Propyl alconol	2	536.71	370.30	0.8035	164.7	6.28
isopropyl alcohol	2	508.31	333.39	0.78512	164.4	0.27
n-Butyl alcohol	2	562.93	390.88	0.80961	203.4	/.63
n-Pentyl alcohol	2	586.	411.15	0.80764	243.3	8.98
Phenol	2	694·2	454.90	1.0576	221.3	6.19

Comparison of the Volume and Surface Modifications of the Regular Solution Model

о	n	a
o	υ	9

commen)						
Substance	Class <sup>9</sup>	<i>Т</i> <sub>с</sub> , К	T <sub>nbp</sub> , K	Density at 20°C g cm <sup>-3</sup>	Parachor	Molar volume <sup>3</sup> 10 <sup>9</sup> cm <sup>2</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup>
m-Cresol	2	699·15	475.35	0.986	261-1	8.91
Aniline	2	699.	457.14	1.02173	230.2	7.07
Dimethylformamide	2	641.85	422.71	0.94873	170.7	6.84
Nitromethane	2	588.	374.34	1·12453 <sup>a</sup>	132.1	4.67
Acetonitrile	2	548·	354.75	0.7857	121.9	4.31
Water	1	647.15	373.14	0.99897	52.71	2.80

TABLE I (Continued)

" Value at 30°C, b value at 25°C, c value at 41°C.

is given by the sum of surface increments,  $A_w$ , over individual functional groups:

$$S_{i} = \sum_{k} A_{wk} . \tag{19}$$

Values of parachor were taken from literature<sup>6</sup>, the molar heat of vaporization was determined from relations (6) and (12). The temperature, T, was determined in the case of isobaric equilibrium data (only systems at the normal pressure of 101.3 kPa were considered) from the relation

$$T = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} T_i + T_{nbp1} + T_{nbp2}\right) / (N+2), \qquad (20)$$

where  $T_{nbp1}$  and  $T_{nbp2}$  are normal boiling point temperatures of the components,  $T_i$  are experimental temperatures and N is the number of experimental points.

### RESULTS

The outlined modifications of the regular solution model were, together with the ideal solution model (relation (5)), tested by comparing calculated and experimental values of the vapour phase composition for a set of 129 different binary systems, which on the whole represented 181 isothermal and isobaric systems with 2478 experimental points. The physico-chemical properties necessary for the calculations are, for 45 pure substances which constitute the set of tested systems, given in Table I. The substances were divided into 5 classes in accordance with the classification proposed by Ewell, Harrison and Berg<sup>9</sup>. A combination of these 5 classes gave, in the

Collection Czechoslov, Chem. Commun. [Vol. 43] [1978]

case of our set, 12 types of binary systems, results of calculations of which are evaluated in Table II. The overall results of the comparison are also reported in Table II.

The results of our calculations can be summarized as follows: all of the relations employed yield good results for systems whose components belong to the same Ewell's class. In view of the fact that values of activity coefficients calculated from the corresponding relations are always higher than unity, no satisfactory results can be expected for systems exhibiting negative deviations from Raoult's law, *i.e.*, for the type 4-3. Relatively poor agreement was observed for systems of the type 4-2 (all of the systems tested form an azeotropic mixture) and for the type 3-1 (acetone-water and triethylamine-water systems). The volume modification fails completely in the case of highly polar systems of the type 2-1.

It follows from the overall comparison that the surface modification of the regular solution model is more suitable for practical applications than the volume modification and that the original Erdös variant with parachor yields better results than the direct evaluation of the molecular surface through Bondi's structural contributions.

#### TABLE II

		Number			Regular solution			
System <sup>9</sup>	System <sup>9</sup> systems	isotherms exptl. and isobars points		solution	H—S <sup>b</sup>	Erdös	Bondi	
5-5	20	. 25	341	4.5	3.5	3.3	5.6	
5-4	9	12	190	3.4	3.2	3.2	3.2	
5-3	12	15	188	10.1	6.7	8.3	8.1	
5-2	38	54	716	27.5	19.9	13.0	18.7	
4-4	4	4	57	6.5	6.2	6.2	6.3	
4-3	5	5	79	20.4	16.3	18.9	17.5	
4-2	6	11	171	25.0	21.8	16.1	15.8	
3-3	2	2	24	9.4	11.4	9.6	9.8	
3-2	11	23	327	13.2	16.0	5.2	10.6	
3-1	2	4	44	25.9	66.6	38.6	43.6	
2-2	11	12	126	6.4	8.7	6.6	8.2	
2-1	9	14	215	24.2	183.4	6.2	8.1	
Total	129	181	2 478	16.8	27.5	9.4	12.4	

Mean Absolute Percent Deviation<sup>a</sup> in the Calculated Vapour Phase Composition for Different Modifications of the Regular Solution Model

"Mean deviation =  $\sum 100 |(y_{1,calc} - y_{1,exp})| / N_{exp} y_{1,exp}$ , <sup>b</sup> Hildebrandt-Scatchard.

Collection Czechoslov. Chem. Commun. [Vol. 43] [1978]

Comparison of the Volume and Surface Modifications of the Regular Solution Model

### REFERENCES

- 1. Hildebrand J. H., Scott R. L.: Solubility of Nonelectrolytes, 3rd Ed. Reinhold, New York 1950.
- 2. Scatchard G.: Chem. Rev. 8, 321 (1931).
- 3. Erdös E.: This Journal 21, 1528 (1956).
- 4. Calingaert G., Davis D. S.: Ind. Eng. Chem. 17, 1287 (1925).
- Boublík T., Fried V., Hála E.: The Vapour Pressures of Pure Substances. Elsevier, Amsterdam 1973.
- 6. Dreisbach R. R.: Advan. Chem. Ser. 15 (1955), 22 (1959), 29 (1961).
- 7. Hougen O. A., Watson K. M.: Chemical Process Principles II. Wiley, New York 1947.
- Bondi A.: Physical Properties of Molecular Crystals, Liquids and Glasses. Wiley, New York 1968.
- 9. Ewell R. H., Harrison J. M., Berg L.: Ind. Eng. Chem. 36, 871 (1944).

Translated by K. Hlavatý.